

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/813,200	- (	03/07/1997	MITSUHIRO HIRANO	2342-0107P	3165	
2292	7590	03/19/2002				
BIRCH STI PO BOX 747		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
		22040-0747		RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/813,200	HIRANO, MITSUHIF	२०
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven H. Rao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply	y to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate set in the final to	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Appellant's Brief must be filed wi R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	thin the period set f	orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,	
(c) 🗖 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	aplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	lered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $[$ uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered ar v or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10,11,16 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		_	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	n) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examin	ier.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
10. Other:		EDDIE LEE VISORY PATENT EXAM	MINER
	TECH	INOLOGY CENTER 28	300

Continuation of 2. NOTE: At least claims 11,16,has newly added limitations, "local exhaust being connected to a space covered by a cover for covering a dust generating portion of the moving mechanism" and " first vacuum exhaust line between said lock chamber and a connection portion of said first and second vacuum exhaust lines." at this stage ( After Final) will require a new search. .

Steven H. Raw Partent Examiner Harch 12, 2002